#### Funded by ERA-NET Transport III Flagship Call 2013 "Future Travelling"

hEART September 10, 2015

erlin

Jan Vuurstaek Glenn Cich Luk Knapen Ansar Yasar

senc

universitei

# Integrating OSM and GTFS to create MATSim plans using PT

- Introduction
- Problem statement
- Previous attempts made by others
- OpenStreetMap (OSM)
- General Transit Feed Specification (GTFS)
- Solution
- Results
- Brooker



#### Introduction

- Activity-based transport micro-simulations
  - Travel demand
  - Network loads
- Simulating public transport
  - Capacity utilization



#### **Problem statement**

- Automate integration of
  - OSM (OpenStreetMap) network
  - Public transport schedules from GTFS (General Transit Feed Specification)
- +/-31.000 bus stops in Flanders
  - No 100% positional accuracy
- Open source data is frequently updated





#### Previous attempts made by others

• MATSim extension: GTFS2TransitSchedule





#### **OpenStreetMap (OSM)**

- Advantages
  - Free
  - Frequent updates

- Disadvantages
  - Lack of rules for adding data
    - Inconsistent data
    - Duplicate data
    - Incorrect data (number of lanes, weakly connected network, etc.)
    - ...





# **OSM cleaning**

- Filtering road types
- Resetting incorrect individual values
- Auto complete data (e.g. total number of lanes)
- Reset incorrect values for related quantities
- Add missing data through rules (FFspeed, capacity, BPR, ...)
- Remove links having zero length
- Merge GeneralTransportInfrastructures (includes junctions)
- Merge GeneralLinks (trivial node processing)
- Strongly maximum connected subgraph
- Split GeneralTransportInfrastructures (Digraph)





#### **General Transit Feed Specification (GTFS)**

- Minimum set of tables
  - Agency
  - Stops
  - Routes
  - Trips
  - Stop times
  - Calendar



#### **Overview: Problem - Solution**

- Problem
  - Using geometry, assign GTFS stops to road segments
  - A GTFS stop can meet several links
  - Problem: Select exactly one assignment for each GTFS stop

- Apply name based heuristic
- Assume that PT operator opts for the smallest total distance over trips (*optimization criterion*)
- Checking all possible combinations is infeasible
- Assign as many stops as possible using local context only
- Decompose into set of small optimisation problems



- Step 1: Find projected stops
- Step 2: Reduce candidates
- Step 3: Create directed graph (projected stops)
- Step 4: Assign unambiguous GTFS stops
- Step 5: Assign ambiguous non-shared GTFS stops
- Step 6: Assign ambiguous shared GTFS stops



• Step 1: Find projected stops





- Step 2: Reduce candidates
  - Based on street names and GTFS stop names
    - Geometrically nearest link only
  - Using Levenshtein distance





- Step 3: Create directed graph
  - Challenge: one GTFS stop generates multiple projected stops (3 having the same <x,y> in this case)





- Step 3: Create directed graph
  - Add projected stop 1 on link with id 1, not coinciding with any node



14

- Step 3: Create directed graph
  - GTFS stop generates projected stops on both directed edges





- Step 3: Create directed graph
  - Add projected stop 1 on link with id 1, coinciding with node 2







- Step 3: Create directed graph
  - Add projected stop 2 on link with id 1, coinciding with node 2





- Step 3: Create directed graph
  - Add projected stop 3 on link with id 2, coinciding with node 2





• Step 3: Create directed graph







19

- Step 4: Assign <u>unambiguous</u> GTFS <u>stops</u>
  - Shortest distance between consecutive stops
  - Does not mean that complete solution for trip is found





- Step 5: Assign ambiguous non-shared unassigned GTFS stops
  - For each GTFS stop exactly one Projected stop is to be chosen such that
    - The sum of the path weights (distances) is minimal over single trip





- Step 6: Assign ambiguous shared GTFS stops
  - For each GTFS stop exactly one Projected stop is to be chosen such that
    - For each bus line, a path in the graph is reconstituted
    - The sum of all bus lines their score is minimal



• Find minimal components for step 5 and step 6





• Find minimal components for step 5 and step 6





#### Results

- Started with:
  - 3.783.119 nodes
  - 776.483 roads
- OSM Cleaning:
  - Filtering road types
  - Resetting incorrect individual values
  - Auto complete data
  - Reset incorrect values for related quantities
  - Add missing data through rules
  - Remove links with zero length
  - Merge GeneralTransportInfrastructures
  - Merge GeneralLinks
  - Strongly maximum connected subgraph
- Ended with:
  - 2.975.568 nodes (Removed 807.551 in total)
  - 537.271 roads (Removed 239.212 in total)

- : 164.081 roads removed
- : 0 roads
- : 136.071 roads
- : 571.310 roads
- : all roads
- : 25 links removed
- : 72.044 roads merged
- : 178.738 links merged
- : 24.046 nodes removed





#### Results

- Step 1: Find projected stops
  124.496 projected stops for 31.082 GTFS stops
- Step 2: Reduce candidates

- 3.141 stops assigned

- Step 3: Create directed graph (projected stops)
- Step 4: Assign unambiguous GTFS stops
  - 19.441 stops assigned
- Step 5: Assign ambiguous non-shared GTFS stops
  0 stops assigned
- Step 6: Assign ambiguous shared GTFS stops
  - All the rest (work in progress)



# THANKS Visit us @

https://smart-pt.tau.ac.il/





